RESEARCH

Open Access



Qi Guo¹, Qian-Qian Peng², Ying-Ying Chen², Ping Song², Xiao-Jun Ji¹, He Huang^{1,2,3*} and Tian-Qiong Shi^{2*}

Abstract

Background: α -Humulene is an important biologically active sesquiterpene, whose heterologous production in microorganisms is a promising alternative biotechnological process to plant extraction and chemical synthesis. In addition, the reduction of production expenses is also an extremely critical factor in the sustainable and industrial production of α -humulene. In order to meet the requirements of industrialization, finding renewable substitute feed-stocks such as low cost or waste substrates for terpenoids production remains an area of active research.

Results: In this study, we investigated the feasibility of peroxisome-engineering strain to utilize waste cooking oil (WCO) for high production of α -humulene while reducing the cost. Subsequently, transcriptome analysis revealed differences in gene expression levels with different carbon sources. The results showed that single or combination regulations of target genes identified by transcriptome were effective to enhance the α -humulene titer. Finally, the engineered strain could produce 5.9 g/L α -humulene in a 5-L bioreactor.

Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that converted WCO to α -humulene in peroxisomeengineering strain. These findings provide valuable insights into the high-level production of α -humulene in *Y. lipolytica* and its utilization in WCO bioconversion.

Keywords: Y. lipolytica, Transcriptome analysis, Waste cooking oil, a-humulene

*Correspondence: huangh@njnu.edu.cn; tqshi@njnu.edu.cn

¹ College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, No. 30 South Puzhu Road, Nanjing 211816, People's Republic of China

² School of Food Science and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Normal University, 2 Xuelin Road, Qixia District, Nanjing 210046, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Introduction

 α -Humulene is a sesquiterpene with potential medicinal value that can be naturally produced by the shampoo ginger plant, such as Humulus lupulus and Zingiber zerumbet, which possesses antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and antitumor activities [1]. Although α -humulene can be obtained by plant extraction and chemical synthesis while its largescale production is limited by high costs, low efficiency and so on [2, 3]. In recent years, with the rapid development of synthetic biology, the use of microorganisms as cell factories for the production of high-value compounds has become a growing trend [4]. Currently, heterologous production of α -humulene has been realized in a variety of hosts, including Candida tropicalis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and Yarrowia lipolytica [5-7]. Most studies have focused on metabolic engineering to modify host cells for high levels of α -humulene production. For example, Zhang et al. overexpressed the entire α -humulene synthesis pathway and the rate-limiting enzymes in C. tropicalis, which achieved 4115.42 mg/L α -humulene in fed-batch fermentation [5]. Furthermore, Zhang et al. and Guo et al. employed organelle engineering in S. cerevisiae and Y. lipolytica respectively, which realized a high yield of α -humulene [6, 8, 9]. However, the reduction of production expenses is also an extremely critical factor in the sustainable and industrial production of α -humulene. In order to meet the requirements of industrialization, finding renewable substitute feedstocks such as low cost or waste substrates for terpenoids production remains an area of active research.

As a kind of food industry waste, the disposal of waste cooking oil (WCO) is receiving increasing attention from various parties [10-12]. In recent years, more and more researches have been focusing on the utilization of WCO as raw and economical feedstock for the production of different value-added chemicals, which would be an attractive method from both economic and environmental protection perspectives [11, 13–15]. Notably, Y. lipolytica is considered an attractive industrial host suitable for conversion WCO to valuable products [16, 17]. Aside from its GRAS status, it also exhibits its robustness and good performance that can be able to grow on variable substrates [18, 19]. Up to date, Y. lipolytica has successfully transformed WCO to produce a variety of compounds, such as very long chain fatty acids [20], fatty acid ethyl esters [21, 22], wax esters [23] and limonene [24]. As a result, WCO is considered to be a promising and cheap carbon source while few studies were related to precise regulation of metabolic pathways and key targets. Transcriptomic analysis would provide some indications for improvement of terpenoid production.

In this study, WCO was used as the sole carbon source to produce α -humulene in *Y. lipolytica*. The combinatorial engineering strategies including omics engineering, metabolic engineering, and fermentation engineering were adopted to improve the α -humulene titer, which was expected to provide valuable knowledge on other terpenoids production in *Y. lipolytica*.

Materials and methods

Strains, media and culture conditions

WCO was gathered in the canteen at Nanjing Normal University. *E. coli* DH5 α was used for plasmid construction and amplification and cultivated at 37 °C in a Luria–Bertani (LB) medium supplementing with 100 µg/mL ampicillin for selection. *Y. lipolytica* strains engineered in this study are based on the original *Y. lipolytica* strain GQ2012 [9]. The *Y. lipolytica* strains were cultivated at 28 °C in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2% glucose). The YNB solid medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 1% glucose, and 1.5% agar) for selecting *Y. lipolytica* transformants was added an appropriate amount of uracil. To recycle the *URA3* selection marker, the YPD solid medium with 0.1% 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FoA) were prepared.

Plasmid construction and transformation

The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. The differential genes used for overexpression are all endogenous and can be synthesized by polymerase chain reaction using PrimeSTAR DNA polymerase. All plasmids were constructed by ClonExpress[®] MultiS One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.). 1 μ g of linearized plasmid was transferred into protoplast that prepared by the Zymogen Frozen EZ Yeast Transformation Kit II (Zymo Research Corporation). Subsequently, the mixture was plated on SC-ura selection plates and incubated at 30 °C for 2–3 days to obtain positive transformations. more details were described in previous paper [8]. All strains constructed and used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Analysis of a-humulene content

Analytical methods of α -humulene content were described previously [25].

Transcriptome analysis

Y. lipolytica GQ2012 was cultured at 30 °C with YPD medium and WCO medium, respectively. After 48 h of culture, centrifugation was performed at 4000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was discarded. Next, the cells were resuspended with normal saline and centrifuged for 10 min, then the cells were quickly put into liquid nitrogen for freezing. Three backup samples were prepared for each yeast sample. RNA extraction and sequencing were carried out in Beijing Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd.

Bioreactor fermentations

For the shake-flask fermentations, 40 mL WCO medium containing 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and WCO was cultured for 4 days at 30 °C, 220 rpm in a 250 mL flask.

The seed solution was added to the WCO medium after overnight cultivation, and the initial OD600 was controlled at 0.1.

For the fed-batch fermentations, 2 L WCO medium containing 150 mL WCO, 20 g/L yeast extract and 40 g/L peptone were added into 5 L parallel-bioreactor (T&J Bio-engineering Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China). The 200 mL seed culture in a shake flask was transferred into the bioreactor and the initial OD_{600} was set to 0.5 rel. AU. The temperature, agitation speed and dissolved oxygen were maintained at 28 °C, 500 rpm and 20% of air saturation, respectively. The α -humulene titer were measured every 12 h. The pH was maintained at 5.5 regulated by NaOH or HCl. The fed-batch process was initiated after a 36 h cultivation at a rate of 8 mL/h from an WCO solution.

Results and discussion

Feasibility of using WCO as feedstock for α-humulene production

Y. lipolytica can directly utilize triacylglycerols (TAGs) as a sole carbon source which are hydrolyzed to fatty acids (FAs) and glycerol. The free FAs, with the help of an active transport system, are transferred into the fungal cells. Inside the cells, the free FAs are either biotransformed for the synthesis of new components (microbial lipids) of the cells or degraded by the strong β -oxidation process [26, 27]. As a result, large amounts of acetyl-CoA were produced, which can be used not only for cell growth, but also for the biosynthesis of other high-value compounds including terpenoids [24]. In our previous study, we obtained a peroxisome-engineering Y. lipolytica GQ2012 that localized 15 genes to peroxisomes, including the whole α -humulene biosynthetic pathway, additional double copies of α -humulene synthase (ACHS2) and hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMG1), as well as single copies of 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (POT1) and peroxisomal adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT1). In total 3.2 g/L of α -humulene was obtained in the bestperforming strain GQ2012, which was the highest titer reported at that time [9]. On the basis of this, to further reduce the production cost, WCO was used as the sole carbon source for the production of α -humulene.

As shown in Fig. 1a, different concentrations of WCO (5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 g/L) were investigated and 60 g/L glucose was used as a control. The results showed that the strain GQ2012 can be able to produce α -humulene over the range of WCO concentrations examined. Compared to the 587 mg/L α -humulene produced by the control strain, the α -humulene produced by GQ2012 using WCO as the carbon source enhanced with the increasing concentration from 196.7 to 1313.3 mg/L. We found that when the WCO concentration was higher than 20 g/L, the yield of α -humulene was higher than that of

Table 1 Main strains and plasmids used in this work

Strains and plasmids	Characteristics	Sources
Strains		
GQ2012	GQ2010F ∆intE3::P _{TEF} -POT1-T _{lin1} , hisG-URA3-hisG	[9]
GQ2012F	GQ2012 $\Delta intE3::P_{TEF}POT1-T_{lin1}$, hisG	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_A14234g	GQ2012F Δscp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFIn} -YALI0_A14234q-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_A16379g	GQ2012F Δ scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_A16379g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_D00363g	GQ2012F \Delta scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P_TEFIN_YALIO_D00363q-T_XDR2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_D00671g	GQ2012F \Delta scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P_TEFIN-YALIO_D00671g-T_XPR2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_D061499	GQ2012F \Delta scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, PTEFIN-YALIO_D061499-TXPR2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E01210g	GQ2012F Δscp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_E01210g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E12419g	GQ2012F Ascp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, PTEFIN-YALIO_E124199-TXPR2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E20471g	GQ2012F \Delta scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, PTEFin=YALIO_E20471g-Txpr2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E23474g	GQ2012F Δ scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_E23474g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E32835g	GQ2012F Δ scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_E32835g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_F00462g	GQ2012F Ascp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, PTEFin=YALIO_F00462g-Txpr2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_F21923q	GQ2012F Δ scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_F21923g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_F25619g	GQ2012F Δ scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALIO_F25619g-T _{XPR2}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_F27005g	GQ2012F Ascp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, PTEFIN-YALIO_F27005g-TXPR2	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_B16170g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YALIO, R16170a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, $P_{TFE1364}$	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_B21142g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YALIO}_{R21142a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_B21780q (GQ2012-C1)	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{VALIO, B217800}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALIO_C00803g	GQ2012F ΔP_{YALIO} COORD3: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_C05951g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YALID, COSOS1a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_C10311g	GQ2012F ΔP_{YALIO} inisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E11561g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YAUO-F11561a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_E12947g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YAII0-F12947a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TFF136}	This work
GQ2012-YALI0_F13937g	GQ2012F $\Delta P_{YAII0-F13937a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TFF136}	This worl
GQ2012-YALI0_F27709g	GQ2012F ΔP_{YAII0} F27709a": hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TFF136}	This work
GQ2012-C1F	GQ2012-C1 $\Delta P_{YA1/0}$ R217800; hisG, P_{TFE136}	This work
GQ2012-C2	GQ2012-C1F $\Delta P_{YAII0, B21142a}$:: hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEE136}	This work
GQ2012-C2F	GQ2012-C2 $\Delta P_{VALIO} R_{211420}$:: hisG, P_{TEF136}	This work
GQ2012-C3	GQ2012-C2F $\Delta scp2::hisG-URA3-hisG, P_{TEFin}$ -YALIO_E32835g-T _{XPR2}	This work
Plasmids		
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_A14234g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_A14234g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_A16379g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFEn} -YALI0_A16379g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_D00363g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_D00363g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_D00671g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_D00671g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALIO_D06149g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TFFin} -YALI0_D061499-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This worl
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_E01210g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_E01210g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_E12419g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_E12419g-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALIO_E20471g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_E20471q-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_E23474g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_E23474q-T _{XPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_E32835g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_E32835g-T _{YPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_F00462g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_F00462q-T _{YPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_F21923g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TEFin} -YALI0_F21923q-T _{YPR2} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_F25619g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TFFin} -YALI0_F25619g-T _{xpR} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This work
pUC-HUH-scp2-YALI0_F27005g	hisG-URA3-hisG, P _{TFFin} -YALI0_F27005g-T _{YPPD} , cassette in pUC-HUH-scp2	This worl
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALIO_B16170g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_B16170g with P_{TFF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALIO_B21142g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_B21142g with P_{TFF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALIO B21780a	replace the native promoter of the YALIO B21780a with P_{TET124}	This work

Table 1 (continued)

Strains and plasmids	Characteristics	Sources
рUC-HUH-Р _{тег136} - <i>YALI0_C00803g</i>	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_C00803g with P _{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_C05951g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_C05951g with P _{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_C10311g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_C10311g with P_{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_E11561g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_E11561g with P_{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_E12947g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_E12947g with P _{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_F13937g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_F13937g with P _{TEF136}	This work
pUC-HUH-P _{TEF136} -YALI0_F27709g	replace the native promoter of the YALIO_F27709g with P_{TEF136}	This work



the control group. In addition, the titer of α -humulene increased slowly when the WCO concentration increased from 60 to 80 g/L. After comprehensive consideration, 60 g/L WCO was chosen for subsequent experiments, which can obtain 1243.3 mg/L α -humulene. It worth mentioning that Y. lipolytica appeared to prefer WCO over glucose as a source of carbon and energy. The growth rates of strain GQ2012 using 60 g/L WCO are higher than those using 60 g/L glucose as carbon source (Fig. 1b), which indicated that Y. lipolytica can rapidly utilize WCO for cell growth and α-humulene biosynthesis. In addition, we also tried using WCO from different canteens as feedstock to produce α -humulene which showed that WCO from different sources had basically no influence on the yield of α -humulene (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Taken together, we believe that WCO potentially serve as a platform for sustainable and economical production of α -humulene.

Transcriptomics analysis of the *Y. lipolytica* GQ2012 with different carbons

To further enhance α -humulene production in *Y. lipolytica*, transcriptome analysis was performed to study the

differences of global gene expression between glucose and WCO as the only carbon source. Three biological replicates were carried out for each strain to ensure the reproducibility. First of all, in order to determine whether the differential genes of the two carbon source fermentation strains are related, Venn diagram analysis was performed on their significantly differential expression genes. As shown in Fig. 2a, the WCO group and GLU group have 90 and 87 uniquely expressed genes respectively. In addition, according to the criteria of DESeq2 padj \leq 0.05 and $|\log 2$ FoldChange| \geq 1.0 for screening differences, the number of differential genes (both up- and down-regulated) was counted in Fig. 2b. The volcano plot can visually display the differential gene distribution of each comparison combination (Fig. 2c). The result showed that a total of 710 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were upregulated and 423 DEGs were downregulated.

Subsequently, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotation was conducted to study the intersection of the significantly different expressed genes in the two different carbon sources. As shown in Fig. 2d, it was found that these differentially expressed



components (CC); Molecular functions (MF)

genes were mainly involved in peroxisomes, fatty acid degradation and valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation, etc. The highest numbers of DEGs related to peroxisomes was observed. To the best of our knowledge, peroxisomes, as one of the organelles, play a key role in redox signalling and lipid homeostasis. They contribute to many crucial metabolic processes such as fatty acid oxidation, biosynthesis of ether lipids and free radical detoxification, which was consistent with the utilization of WCO as the sole carbon source for the α -humulene production in the peroxisome-engineering strain GQ2012. When the released FAs incorporated inside the yeast cells through a process that is not random and will either be metabolized through the β -oxidation pathway, which used for cell growth and metabolite production. The expression level of most genes in peroxisomes was significantly up-regulated, which indicated that the use of WCO facilitated this process. It is worth mentioning that the expression level of acyl-CoA oxidase (YALI0E32835g) was most significantly up-regulated, which plays an important role in the relevant pathways such as fatty acid degradation, beta-Alanine metabolism, alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism and so on. Besides, fatty acid metabolism mainly involves the production of acetyl CoA that is the universal precursor for terpenoid biosynthesis. As a result, this also explained the importance and necessity of fatty acid metabolism in α -humulene biosynthesis.

In addition, Gene Ontology (GO) annotation and classification analysis were conducted to show the potential functions of the DEGs treated by WCO. As shown in Fig. 2e, DEGs are divided into three main categories based on the different functions, including biological processes (BP), cellular components (CC) and molecular functions (MF). Oxidation-reduction process, integral and intrinsic component of membrane, and oxidoreductase activity were the most abundant annotation terms in each of the three GO categories. It is worth mentioning that we found that a large of number of DEGs were related to the categories of localization, establishment of localization and transport, which may be related to the localization of the α -humulene biosynthetic pathway to peroxisomes and the utilization and degradation of WCO. Overall, the results of the transcriptomic analysis are consistent with the use of WCO to improve α -humulene production in the peroxisome-engineering strain. We speculated that these apparent changes are caused by differences in the expression levels of genes associated with localization and transport.

Enhancing α-humulene production by exploring potential targets

To further search for potential target genes involved in the conversion of WCO to a-humulene production, fourteen significantly up-regulated genes that log2 FoldChange>6, were overexpressed individually and investigated for the influences on α -humulene overproduction. To this end, fourteen single-gene overexpression strains were constructed on the basis of the strain GQ2012. All the genes were controlled under the strong promoter $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text{TEFin}}$ and integrated into genome loci. As shown in Fig. 3a and Additional file 1: Fig. S2A, overexpression of YALI0_E32835g promoted the production of α -humulene with the titer of 1410.12 mg/L, which was 13.4% higher than the control strain, while other genes had little or negative effects on α -humulene production. Looking deeper into the reason, we found that YALIO_ E32835g is acyl-CoA oxidase with the molecular function of oxidoreductase. The gene is involved in a variety of biological processes including fatty acid degradation, peroxisome process, α -linolenic acid metabolism and so on, which revealed that this gene plays a pivotal role in the production of α -humulene in the peroxisomes.

Similarly, those genes which were significantly downregulated were also examined for their effects on α -humulene titer. Ten genes that log2FoldChange>6, were chosen to down-regulate their transcript expression levels. The weak P_{TEF136} promoter was used to replace the endogenous promoter respectively. As shown in Fig. 3b and Additional file 1: Fig. S2B, the results showed that down-regulation of the expression levels of seven genes improved α -humulene production. Among these strains, down-regulation of YALI0_B21780g expression improved α -humulene production most significantly, which reached up to 22.8% higher than the control strain GQ2012, reaching to 1526.7 mg/L. We found that the function of YALI0_B21780g is similar to glycerol 2-dehydrogenase (NADP(+)) GCY1 from S. cerevisiae S288C that involved in glycerolipid metabolism. This protein is involved in glycerol catabolism under microaerobic conditions that consumes large amounts of NADP(+). It is worth mentioning that the process of fatty acid degradation also requires the participation of NADP(+). It is possible that the down-regulation of YALI0_B21780g weakened the competition for NADP(+), which facilitated the continuous catabolism of WCO, thus promoting the production of α -humulene. In addition, regulation of YALI0_C10311g also showed a good property for promoting α -humulene production, resulting in a 17.7% increase in yield to 1463.3 mg/L. We found that YALI0_C10311g is a potassium (K) uptake protein in KUP system involved in the process of ion transport with transmembrane transporter activity. The KUP system is more extensively studied in *Escherichia coli* [28, 29]. The KUP system is more active at lower pH values for maintaining cellular homeostasis. The apparent down-regulation of the transcript level of YALI0_C10311g may be due to the lesser K demand in maintaining a steady-state environment, thus making the KUP system less important. In addition to the two genes mentioned above, four genes including YALI0_B21142g, YALI0_C05951g, YALI0_E11561g and YALI0_F13937g also improved the yield of α -humulene, but not significantly while other genes had an adverse effect on α -humulene production.

Overall, we found that precise regulation of genes that are significantly up- or down-regulated can help identify some potential targets, which is essential for effective improvement of α -humulene biosynthesis. However, we found that engineering a single gene had a marginal influence on α -humulene production, which suggested that central metabolism should be systematically rewired for WCO biotransformation.

Enhancing α -humulene production by metabolic rewiring

Based on the above experimental results, overexpression or knockdown of some differential genes is beneficial to improve α -humulene production. As a result, three genes including YALI0_B21780g, YALI0_C10311g and YALI0_ E32835g, were selected to investigate the potential synergistic effects on α -humulene production. As shown in Fig. 4 and Additional file 1: Fig. S3, the promoter of







YALI0_C10311g was replaced with P_{TEF136} to obtain strain GQ2012-C2 on the basis of strain GQ2012-C1. We found that it is significantly increased by 13.5% in the level of α -humulene which indicated that combinatorial regulation is an effective strategy to upgrade the α -humulene level. Encouraged by the above experimental results, we further introduced YALI0_E32835g into strain GQ2012-C2 to obtain strain GQ2012-C3, which obtained 1763.33 mg/L α -humulene. However, this titer was not significantly higher as we expected, but only 30 mg/L higher than the strain of GQ2012-C2. In general, the results showed that all the engineered strains showed greater α -humulene production than the initial strain GQ2012.

Fed-batch fermentation in a 5-L bioreactor

Finally, the best engineered strain was evaluated in 5-L bioreactor for high-level α -humulene production with WCO as sole carbon source. As shown in Fig. 5, we found that the α -humulene titer increased slowly until 144 h and increased rapidly when the addition of WCO to the reactor is stopped. Finally, the highest α -humulene titer was obtained at 192 h that was 5.9 g/L. In addition, we found that the biomass of strain GQ2012-C3 increased rapidly in the first 72 h, especially in the first 36 h. In the later stage of fermentation process, the biomass growth



increased at a slow rate. Eventually, the biomass of strain GQ2012-C3 reached to 77 at 192 h. The results demonstrated the ability of the engineered *Y. lipolytica* to transform WCO. The high yields demonstrated the potential of engineered strains obtained in this study to transform WCO into high-value chemicals. Our study also demonstrated that the circular bioeconomy concept can be an effective model for scale-up production of valuable biochemical, in particular with the valorization of WCO as raw material.

Conclusions

In this study, we combined omics engineering, metabolic engineering, and fermentation engineering to enhance the potential of *Y. lipolytica* to produce α -humulene using WCO. A feasible strategy was used to reduce production costs and enhance the α -humulene productivity of microbial cell factories. In summary, the results showed that our engineered *Y. lipolytica* can serve as a platform strain for the production of valuable chemicals while laying the foundation for more future applications of WCO bioconversion in *Y. lipolytica*.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-022-01986-z.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Influence of WCO from different canteens on α -humulene production. Fig. S2. Influence of differential genes regulation on α -humulene production (DCW). (A) Overexpression of genes with significantly up-regulated transcript levels. (B) down-regulation of gene with significantly down-regulated transcript levels. The data represent the means \pm standard deviations (n = 3). Fig. S3. Rational metabolic engineering to improve α -humulene production (DCW). YALIO_B21780g and YALIO_B21142g were down-regulated. YALIO_E32835g was overexpressed. The data represent the means \pm standard deviations (n = 3).

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2019YFA0904900), National Science Fund for Excellent Young Scholars of China (No. 21922806), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20210573).

Author contributions

STQ and GQ designed the experiments. GQ and PQQ performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. STQ and SP analyzed the data. GQ and PQQ was responsible for plasmid construction. JXJ and HH revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, No. 30 South Puzhu Road, Nanjing 211816, People's Republic of China. ²School of Food Science and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Normal University, 2 Xuelin Road, Qixia District, Nanjing 210046, People's Republic of China. ³College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nanjing Tech University, No. 30 South Puzhu Road, Nanjing 211816, People's Republic of China.

Received: 29 October 2022 Accepted: 8 December 2022 Published online: 24 December 2022

References

- de Lacerda Leite GM, de Oliveira BM, Lopes MJP, de Araújo DG, Bezerra DS, Araújo IM, de Alencar CDC, Coutinho HDM, Peixoto LR, Barbosa-Filho JM. Pharmacological and toxicological activities of α-humulene and its isomers: a systematic review. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2021;115:255–74.
- Wei MC, Xiao J, Yang YC. Extraction of α-humulene-enriched oil from clove using ultrasound-assisted supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and studies of its fictitious solubility. Food Chem. 2016;210:172–81.
- Hu T, Corey EJ. Short syntheses of (+/-)-delta-araneosene and humulene utilizing a combination of four-component assembly and palladiummediated cyclization. Org Lett. 2002;4(14):2441–3.
- Wang K, Shi TQ, Lin L, Wei P, Ledesma-Amaro R, Ji XJ, Huang H. Advances in synthetic biology tools paving the way for the biomanufacturing of unusual fatty acids using the *Yarrowia lipolytica* chassis. Biotechnol Adv. 2022;59: 107984.
- Zhang L, Yang H, Xia Y, Shen W, Liu L, Li Q, Chen X. Engineering the oleaginous yeast *Candida tropicalis* for α-humulene overproduction. Biotechnol Biofuels Bioprod. 2022;15(1):59.
- Zhang C, Li M, Zhao GR, Lu W. Harnessing yeast peroxisomes and cytosol acetyl-CoA for sesquiterpene alpha-humulene production. J Agric Food Chem. 2020;68(5):1382–9.
- Zhang C, Liu J, Zhao F, Lu C, Zhao GR, Lu W. Production of sesquiterpenoid zerumbone from metabolic engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Metab Eng. 2018;49:28–35.
- Guo Q, Li YW, Yan F, Li K, Wang YT, Ye C, Shi TQ, Huang H. Dual cytoplasmic-peroxisomal engineering for high-yield production of sesquiterpene α-humulene in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2022;119(10):2819–30.
- Guo Q, Shi TQ, Peng QQ, Sun XM, Ji XJ, Huang H. Harnessing Yarrowia lipolytica peroxisomes as a subcellular factory for α-humulene overproduction. J Agric Food Chem. 2021;69(46):13831–7.
- Liu X, Lv J, Xu J, Xia J, He A, Zhang T, Li X, Xu J. Effects of osmotic pressure and pH on citric acid and erythritol production from waste cooking oil by *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Eng Life Sci. 2018;18(6):344–52.
- Tzirita M, Papanikolaou S, Chatzifragkou A, Quilty B. Waste fat biodegradation and biomodification by *Yarrowia lipolytica* and a bacterial consortium composed of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas* putida. Eng Life Sci. 2018;18(12):932–42.

- Gao Z, Ma Y, Liu Y, Wang Q. Waste cooking oil used as carbon source for microbial lipid production: promoter or inhibitor. Environ Res. 2022;203:111881.
- Orjuela A, Clark J. Green chemicals from used cooking oils: trends, challenges, and opportunities. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chemi. 2020;26:100369.
- Liu X, Lv J, Xu J, Zhang T, Deng Y, He J. Citric acid production in *Yarrowia lipolytica* SWJ-1b yeast when grown on waste cooking oil. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2015;175(5):2347–56.
- Katre G, Ajmera N, Zinjarde S, RaviKumar A. Mutants of *Yarrowia lipolytica* NCIM 3589 grown on waste cooking oil as a biofactory for biodiesel production. Microb Cell Fact. 2017;16(1):176.
- Wei LJ, Zhong YT, Nie MY, Liu SC, Hua Q. Biosynthesis of α-pinene by genetically engineered *Yarrowia lipolytica* from low-cost renewable feedstocks. J Agric Food Chem. 2021;69(1):275–85.
- Yan J, Han B, Gui X, Wang G, Xu L, Yan Y, Madzak C, Pan D, Wang Y, Zha G, Jiao L. Engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* to simultaneously produce lipase and single cell protein from agro-industrial wastes for feed. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):758.
- Liu X, Yan Y, Zhao P, Song J, Yu X, Wang Z, Xia J, Wang X. Oil crop wastes as substrate candidates for enhancing erythritol production by modified *Yarrowia lipolytica* via one-step solid state fermentation. Bioresour Technol. 2019;294:122194.
- Morin N, Cescut J, Beopoulos A, Lelandais G, Le Berre V, Uribelarrea JL, Molina-Jouve C, Nicaud JM. Transcriptomic analyses during the transition from biomass production to lipid accumulation in the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(11):e27966.
- Gajdoš P, Hambalko J, Slaný O, Čertík M. Conversion of waste materials into very long chain fatty acids by the recombinant yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2020;367(6):1.
- Ng TK, Yu AQ, Ling H, Juwono NKP, Choi WJ, Leong SSJ, Chang MW. Engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* towards food waste bioremediation: production of fatty acid ethyl esters from vegetable cooking oil. J Biosci Bioeng. 2020;129(1):31–40.
- Wei LJ, Ma YY, Cheng BQ, Gao Q, Hua Q. Metabolic engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* for a dual biocatalytic system to produce fatty acid ethyl esters from renewable feedstock in situ and in one pot. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2021;105(21–22):8561–73.
- 23. Soong YV, Zhao L, Liu N, Yu P, Lopez C, Olson A, Wong HW, Shao Z, Xie D. Microbial synthesis of wax esters. Metab Eng. 2021;67:428–42.
- Pang Y, Zhao Y, Li S, Zhao Y, Li J, Hu Z, Zhang C, Xiao D, Yu A. Engineering the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* to produce limonene from waste cooking oil. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2019;12:241.
- 25. Shi T, Li Y, Zhu L, Tong Y, Yang J, Fang Y, Wang M, Zhang J, Jiang Y, Yang S. Engineering the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* for β -farnesene overproduction. Biotechnol J. 2021;16(7):e2100097.
- Probst KV, Schulte LR, Durrett TP, Rezac ME, Vadlani PV. Oleaginous yeast: a value-added platform for renewable oils. Crit Rev Biotechnol. 2016;36(5):942–55.
- Beopoulos A, Chardot T, Nicaud JM. Yarrowia lipolytica: a model and a tool to understand the mechanisms implicated in lipid accumulation. Biochimie. 2009;91(6):692–6.
- Trchounian A, Kobayashi H. Kup is the major K+ uptake system in *Escherichia coli* upon hyper-osmotic stress at a low pH. FEBS Lett. 1999;447(2–3):144–8.
- Bañuelos MA, Klein RD, Alexander-Bowman SJ, Rodríguez-Navarro A. A potassium transporter of the yeast *Schwanniomyces occidentalis* homologous to the Kup system of *Escherichia coli* has a high concentrative capacity. EMBO J. 1995;14(13):3021–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.